Investigating Direction Effects Across Rating Scales with Five and Seven Points in a Probability-based Online Panel

Jan Karem Höhne^{1,2} & Dagmar Krebs³

¹University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany ²RECSM-Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Spain ³University of Giessen, Germany

> GOR Virtual Conference September 2021



Introduction I

Questions with rating scales are frequently used in attitude measurement.

- American National Election Study (ANES).
- European Social Survey (ESS).
- Design of rating scales can impact answer behavior.
 - Inducing systematic measurement errors.
 - Reducing measurement quality.
- For instance, rating scales can follow two directions:
 - Decremental (Dec): applies completely applies not at all.
 - Incremental (Inc): applies not at all applies completely.



Introduction II

- Occurrence of primacy effects in rating scales.
 - Answers pile up at the beginning.
- Primacy effects seem to be more pronounced in longer rating scales.
 - Improper distinction between scale points.
- Respondents point attention to rating scale beginning.
 - Beginning serves as reference point: anchor-and-adjustment heuristic.
- Few studies on direction effects across scales of different lengths.
 - Most analyses remain on observational level (e.g., answer distributions).
 - Analyses on latent level are scarce (e.g., latent means).



Research Questions (RQs)

- Do decremental and incremental rating scales with five and seven points shift respondents' answers to the beginning of the scale? (RQ1)
- Can measurement invariance be obtained for decremental and incremental rating scales with five and seven points? (RQ2)
- If measurement invariance can be obtained, do decremental and incremental rating scales with five and seven points result in latent mean differences? (RQ3)



Method: Design and Survey Questions



- Five questions on achievement motivation.
 - One question per page.
- End verbalization.
 - Dec: applies completely applies not at all.
 Inc: applies not at all applies completely.
- Vertical alignment.
- No numeric values.
- Optimized survey layout.
 No horizontal scrolling.

UNIVERSITY DUISBURG ESSEN

Method: Sample Characteristics

The experiment was conducted in the probability-based German Internet Panel in July 2019.

<i>Final sample size: N</i> = <i>4</i> ,676				
Gender:	48% female			
Age (in years):	Mean = 50			
Education:	15% lower secondary school			
	32% intermediate secondary school			
	53% at least college preparatory secondary school			

Note. Chi-square tests revealed no significant differences between the four experimental groups regarding gender, age, and education.



Method: Analytical Strategy

RQ1: Comparing answer distributions (Z-tests).

- First two (five points) and first three (seven points) answer options.
- Average proportion for the five questions on achievement motivation.
- RQ2: Testing for measurement invariance.
 - Multi-Group Confirmatory Factor Analysis (MG-CFA).
 - Notion of strong (scalar) measurement invariance.
- RQ3: Testing for latent mean shifts.
 - Only for invariant groups.
- Data are available via GESIS Data Archive (DOI: 10.4232/1.13465).



Results: Research Question 1





Incremental

Results: Research Question 2

Invariance level					χ² difference
(Five points)	χ²-value	df	RMSEA	CFI	test
Configural	22.9 (1.5)	8	0.04	0.99	
Metric	31.8 (1.3)	13	0.04	0.99	7.53
Scalar	40.5 (1.2)	18	0.03	0.99	7.86

Note. **p* < 0.005. *The results are based on MLR estimation. Scale correction factors are in parentheses.*

Invariance level					χ² difference
(Seven points)	χ²-value	df	RMSEA	CFI	test
Configural	27.2 (1.5)	8	0.04	0.99	
Metric	37.4 (1.3)	13	0.04	0.99	8.45
Scalar	57.2 (1.2)	18	0.04	0.99	20.80*

Note. **p* < 0.005. *The results are based on MLR estimation. Scale correction factors are in parentheses.*



Results: Research Question 3

	Estimate	Standard error	Critical ratio	P-value
Five points	-0.03	0.04	-0.68	0.50

Note. Reference group: decremental.



Discussion and Conclusion

- Primacy effects in seven- but not in five-point rating scales.
 - This is indicated by the answer distributions.
- Measurement invariance for five- but not for seven-point rating scales.
 - Lack of scalar invariance points to systematic measurement errors.
- No latent mean differences in five-point rating scales.
 - Supports the results of answer distributions.
 - Cannot be tested for seven-point rating scales.
- Overall, five-point scales seem to be more robust against direction effects.



Many thanks for your attention!

jan.hoehne@uni-due.de

@jkhoehne

